	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/722,749	WONG, HON-SUM P.					
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Davienne Monbleau	2878					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) <u>Davienne Monbleau</u> .	(3) <u>Kin-Wah Tong</u> .						
(2) <u>Diana Rey</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 01 February 2006.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)☐ applicant's representativ	re]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No. 						
Claim(s) discussed: ALL.							
Identification of prior art discussed: Merrill et al. (U.S. 6,84	<u>11.816)</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□	N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	al nature of what was agreed to !.	o if an agreement was					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments that	greed would render the claims would render the claims					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
	Stephe . Primar	one B. Alleri ry Examiner					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sig	nature, if required					

→ PTO

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting (avorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Tradsmerk Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendence of applicants or their atterneys or agents at the Patent and Tradsmerk Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Tradsmerk Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, adjustion, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is lisalf incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an Interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inacculracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the Interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which Interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an Interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate interview Summary Record is required.

Substance of an analyse with a composery recorded in an examiners remaining in the interview summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An Indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the Interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an Identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,

 (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) If appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Chack for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

Application No. 10/722,749

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner and Attorneys discussed whether Merrill teaches the claimed limitation of a color reflector between a substrate and a vertical stack of color sensors. After discussion and further consideration, the Examiner maintains that Merrill teaches the claimed limitation.

Attorneys made the following arguments:

- A. Merrill is devoid of any explicit teaching relating to the need or desirability for a reflector placed between a substrate and a vertical stack of color sensors.
- B. Merrill teaches away from the inclusion of a reflector positioned between the substrate and the vertical stack of color sensors.

Regarding argument A, the Examiner agrees that Memil does not explicitly teach a reflector placed between a substrate and a vertical stack of color sensors. The Examiner maintains, however, that this does preclude that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the rejection to use a reflector in that position to achieve similar advantages that Memil does teach: namely resulting in that the desired light passes twice through the respective sensor which improves color absorbance and detection.

Regarding argument B, the Examiner does not find this persuasive for two reasons. First, although Memil's primary focus is using the partial filters is to eliminate color discrimination problems in the respective subsequent color sensor, this does not imply that he is teaching away from using a reflector between the substrate and last sensor. Second, Memili states (column 17, lines 35-37) that an additional advantage of using the partial filters is that "the reflected photons return through any layers above them, which gives them a second change to be absorbed." Thus, Memili teaches the advantage of improving the absorbance of the color sensors by enabling the light to pass through the respective color sensor twice. Therefore, although Memili does not explicitly claim a reflector between the substrate and the last sensor, he teaches using the double-pass techniqe to improve absorbance and thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement a reflector for the last sensor in the vertical stack for that same purpose.

TELEFAX COVER SHEET

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP

FEB 2 1 2006

ATTORNEYS AT LAW 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE FIRST FLOOR SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702 **TELEPHONE (732) 530-9404** TELEFAX (732) 530-9808

*******	******	****	****		
IF IT WAS SENT RECIPIENT, PLEASE CONFIDENTIAL NECESSARY. YOU	OR RECEIVED INCORRECTLY TAKE NOTICE THAT THIS ME MATERIAL, AND YOUR DUE I MAY ARRANGE TO RETURN T LISTED ABOVE AT (7	PERSON OR COMPANY LISTED , OR YOU ARE NOT THE INTEN SSAGE MAY CONTAIN PRIVILE REGARD FOR THIS INFORMATIO HIS MATERIAL BY CALLING TO 32) 530-9404	DED EGED OR ON IS HE FIRM		
	AS PAGES INCLUDING				
	•				
FAX NO.:	(571) 273-8300				
FROM:	Kin-Wah Tong, Esq.				
DATE:	February 21, 2006	<u>.</u>	<u> </u>		
MATTER:	U.S. Serial No.: 10/722,74	Filed November 26, 2003	<u></u>		
DOCKET NO.:	YOR920030513US1				
APPLICANT:	Wong				
The following has been a	eceived in the U.S. Patent and Tra	demark Office on the date of this fac	simile:		
X Response Under 37 C.F.R. 1.116 Disclosure Statement & PTO-1449 Priority Document Preliminary Amendment Interview Summary		 X Transmittal Letter (2 copies) Fee Transmittal (2 copies) Deposit Account Transaction X Facsimile Transmission Certificate dated February 21, 2006 Power of Attorney, Revocation Change Correspondence Address 			
I hereby certify	Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on <u>2</u>	N UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8 g transmitted by facsimile to Comm 21/06, Facsimile No. (571) 273-830 February Signature and date	<u>0</u> .		

PTC/\$B/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0851-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM		Application Number	≘r	10/722,749)		\	
		Filing Date		November	26, 2003	RE	:dei	VED
		First Named Inven	tor	Hon-Sum (P. Wong		_	X CENTER
		Art Unit		2878		FF	N 2 1	2006
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Examiner Name		Monbleau,	Davienne N.		ქ' ነ	, 2000
Total Number of Pages In This Submis		Attorney Docket N	umber	YOR92003	30513US1		ノ	
	ENCLO	SURES (check all tha	at apply)				ר ר	
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s			After Allo	wance Commu	nication to TC	1	
Fee Attached	Licensing	-related Papers			Communication tales and interfere			
Amendment / Reply	Petition	Petition			Communication t lotice, Brief, Repl			
After Final .	Provision	o Convert to a al Application		Proprieta	ry Information			
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			əllər			
Extension of Time Request	Terminal Disclaimer			Other E.	nclosure(s) lentify below):			
Express Abandonment Request	Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)			Facsimile Tr Interview Su				
Information Disclosure Statement	Landscape Table on CD							
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53		It is believed no ge that fee to deposit a py of this letter is end	eccount nu				B	
SIG	NATURE OF	APPLICANT, ATTO	RNEY, O	R AGENT			7	
Firm	Patterson & Sh	eridan, LLP				_	1	
Signature	4	NIG			_			
Printed Name	Kin-Wah Tong.	. Esq.	· "					
Date	February 21, 20	006	Reg. No.	39,400				
<u> </u>	CERTIFICA	TE OF TRANSMISS	SION/MAI	LING			ン	
I hereby certify that this corresponde Service with sufficient postage as f Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the da	irst class mall	in an envelope addre						
Signature	exist							
Typed or printed name Tara Ca	rler			Date	February 21, 2	2006	フ	

Tris collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.6. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will very depending upon the Individual case. Any comments on the amount of time your require to complete this form end/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, cell 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. CMB 0851-0031
U.S. Petent and Tradement Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM		Application Numbe	r	10/722,749	9			
		Filing Date		November	26, 2003	RE	CEI	/ED
		First Named Invent	tor	Hon-Sum	P. Wong	CENTR/	L FAX	CENTER
		Art Unit		2878		FE	B 2 1	2006
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Examiner Name		Monbleau,	Davienne N.		1	
Total Number of Pages in This Submis		Attorney Docket No	umber	YOR92003	30513US1		,	
	ENCLO	SURES (check all tha	t apply)]	
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s)		After Allo	owance Communi	calion to TC		
Fee Attached	Licensing	Licensing-related Papers		—	Communication to			
Amendment / Reply	Petition	☐ Petition			als and Interferent Communication to Lottce, Brief, Reply	TĊ		
After Final		Patition to Convert to a Provisional Application			ary Information			
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		Status L	etter			
Extension of Time Request	Terminal Disclaimer			Other E	nclosure(s) lentity below):			
Express Abandonment Request	Request for Refund CO, Number of CD(s)			Facsimile Tr Interview Su				
Information Disclosure Statement	Landscape Table on CD						ł	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	Remarks kindly charg duplicate co							
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53								
	<u> </u>						ł	
	NATURE OF A	APPLICANT, ATTOR	RNEY, OF	RAGENT			ł	
Firm	Patterson & Sh	eridan, LLP]	
Signature	4	4-N/4						
Printed Name	Kin-Wah Tong, Esq.							
Date	February 21, 20	Reg. 39,400 No. 39,400						
	CERTIFICA	TE OF TRANSMISS	ION/MAI	LING			7	
I hereby certify that this corresponde Service with sufficient postage as f Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the da	irst class mail i	in an envelope addres						
Signature	estel							
Typed or printed name Tara Carter			Date	February 21, 20	06	ノ		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need exsistence in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.